1	calm down a bit. I think rebuttal expert
2	witnesses is really opening the door to all
3	kinds of you can have surrebuttal, let me
4	finish. You can have rebuttal, you can have
5	surrebuttal, and experts, factually, they very
6	rarely say much. It's all out here in the
7	clouds. They're important, they're very
8	important, particularly on remedy, but I'm
9	very much opposed to having rebuttal expert
10	witnesses.
11	Now, you're going to have expert
12	witnesses on both sides, and it does make
13	sense that the party with the burden of proof
14	go first, so that the expert on the other side
15	can prepare to meet what that burden is, what
16	that case is. But then to start bringing in
17	rebuttals -
18	MS. WALLMAN: Well, just to be
19	clear, I'm not talking about bringing in a new
20	witness. I'm talking about ~
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Putting your
22	witness back on again?

1	MS. WALLMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, then you know
3	what that is. Then you shortchange up front.
4	I mean, it's kind of like a never mind.
5	That's not a good idea. I think when I'm
6	sitting here, I'm expecting is that a witness
7	is going to get on the stand. The witness is
8	going to say everything the witness wants to
9	say that's in the interest of that case, not
10	that he's going to come in with five points,
11	and then make his other five points when he
12	gets called on rebuttal.
13	MS. WALLMAN: That would not be my
14	intent either.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: I don't think so
16	either, but those things do happen.
17	MR. COHEN: Your Honor, just to
18	respond to the Media Bureau. I mean, what
19	1.351 says is that the Rules of Evidence
20	apply. I don't understand how that could
21	compel us to have written statements.
	II

FREDERICK: I think it's

MR.

22

different for comparative hearings.

MR. COHEN: Yes, so I think if the Rules clearly contemplate live testimony, everything that subsection contemplates live testimony, we understand the need to be efficient in live testimony. The job is to persuade, not to bore, and we'll move it along quickly.

MR. FREDERICK: Your Honor, if Comcast wants to do that, so long as the time allocations for each party are different, we have no objection to that.

Watch a clock. I'm going to tell you right now, I am not going to watch a clock. I mean, if it's dragging on, I'll -- believe me, I will actively participate in what's going on, but that's all I can promise you. I can't set time limits. That's impossible. That's absolutely impossible, but I -- if I were a betting person, I would bet that that direct testimony will be very short. And you all

	better be propared to cross chamine.
2	MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, can I
3	ask one hopefully non-controversial question.
4	Judge Steinberg had said in earlier pre-
5	hearing conferences that filing electronically
6	with an email copy to the Judge with the
7	receipt on it, even if it was a day late was
8	fine, and not to worry about faxing, that sort
9	of electronic filing and emailing to you is
10	fine. Is that fine with you, as well?
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: The person that you
12	really should be directing that to is Ms.
13	Gosse here. Whatever Judge Steinberg set up
14	is going to continue to happen. Okay?
15	MR. SOLOMON: Thank you.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Whatever it is. I
17	am not going to try and change the road map or
18	anything on that kind of thing. So whatever
19	you were doing, continue to do it. Does the
20	Bureau have any objection to that?
21	MS. GOSSE: Please CC me.
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes.

1	MR. SOLOMON: Should we CC you?
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Be sure you CC -
3	yes, Ms. Gosse gets CC on everything,
4	particularly the email.
5	MS. GOSSE: Electronic filings
6	aren't normally accepted in but in this
7	case -
8	MR. SOLOMON: I don't really care
9	how we do it. I just want to make sure I do
10	it right.
11	MS. GOSSE: Whatever is filed
12	electronically, make sure you CC me, please.
13	MR. SOLOMON: Okay. What is your
14	email address?
15	MS. GOSSE: Mary.gosse@FCC.org.
16	MR. SOLOMON: Thank you.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: And please continue
18	to cover Judge Steinberg with your emails, or
19	your filings. Be sure he stays on it, because
20	he's going to be he'll continue to be
21	active in this case in my absence. Yes?
22	MR. SCHONMAN: Your Honor, just to

1	make sure that discovery concludes on the date
2	that you've provided for -
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's 20 February.
4	MR. SCHONMAN: Yes.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Go ahead,
6	I'm sorry.
.7	MR. SCHONMAN: I wonder if you
8	might solicit opinions from the various
9	parties on curtailing the notice of deposition
10	period from 21 days back to something shorter
11	than that, because that could take the better
12	part of a month.
13	MR. COHEN: We'll work that out.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm assuming that
15	that's not going to be a factor. They're not
16	going to stand on 20-day rules or something
17	like, no. If we run into the problem, you let
18	me know, and we'll address it's not going
19	to happen. It's just not going to happen.
20	They have more important things to think
21	about.
22	Is there anything else I need to

1	cover at this point? Now, I've got exchange
2	of I think I I'm putting on a date on
3	it, though, the exchange of the reports of the
4	well, it would actually be the depositions.
5	When do the depositions of the experts have to
6	be taken? Do you want a date on that?
7	MR. COHEN: I assume they would be
8	taken towards the end of the discovery
9	process, Your Honor.
LO	MR. MILLS: As long as they're
L1	done by February 20.
L2	MR. LEVY: We should be able to
13	work that out, as well.
14	MR. MILLS: We'll work out dates.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: What I don't want
16	to happen is, is that things get delayed with
17	exchange of the statements, and that type of
18	thing. I mean, you really have to have a lead
19	time with an expert witness to be able to
20	prepare to depose him or her, and also for
21	cross examination. But you work it out.
22	Anybody's got a problem, you come to me or

22

1	Judge Steinberg.
2	MR. MILLS: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Anything else?
4	MR. COHEN: No, Your Honor.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you very
6	much. We're in recess.
7	(Whereupon, the proceedings went
8	off the record at 3:36 p.m.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

Herring v Time Warner, et al
Name of Hearing
MB DOCKET NO. 08-214
Docket No. (if applicable)
445 12 th STREET, S.W., WASHINGTON, D.C.
Place of Hearing
November 25, 2008
Date of He aring
We, the undersigned, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 56 through 105, inclusive, are the true, accurate and complete transcript prepared from the reporting by
November 25, 2008 Katherine Sykora Corce Date Legible Name and Signature of Reporter
Name of Company:Neal Gross Co
November 25, 2008 Eileen Jackson Chan Tackson
Date Legible Name and Signature of Transcriber Name of Company:Neal Gross Co
November 25, 2008 Tracy Cain Tracy Cain
Date Legible Name and Signature of Proofreader Name of Company:Neal Grøss Co